

Technical Application Bulletin

HPLC Interferences: Causes and Cures

Barnstead International has done extensive testing of its products, ensuring that they are capable of producing water suitable for the most demanding requirements of our customers. One criterion was to produce high purity water that can be used with confidence by researchers and analysts performing reverse phase HPLC. We paid close attention to mobile phase interferences and the elimination of ghost peaks that can plague chromatography procedures.

Introduction

In the development stage of our products, we have evaluated the components utilized in these systems ensuring each individual component is capable of producing water meeting our exacting standards. We have compared our results to competitive water systems and performed a direct HPLC comparison utilizing different sources of water, including bottled (HPLC) water.

It is the purpose of this bulletin to report these results, and provide you the techniques we have effectively used to eliminate potential background interferences.

Mobile phase baseline interferences have generally not been a problem at the higher wavelengths, such as 254 nm or above. Most of the interfering organic species are invisible to the detector at these settings. However, interferences or ghost peaks have presented problems at the lower wavelengths such as 210 nm. The following discussion will attempt to address the causes or sources of some of the interferences which may be encountered during reverse phase gradient HPLC procedures which utilize reagent grade water and acetonitrile mobile phase solvents. The following chromatographic method was utilized extensively in the evaluation of both the NANOpure® UV and EASYpure II UV water systems.

Chromatography Method

60 ml of test water is pumped through a 5 micron C18 ODS 4.6 x 250 mm column with C18 guard column at 2.0 ml/minute for 30 minutes. This is immediately followed by a reverse phase gradient from the 100% water enrichment to 100% acetonitrile at 2.0 ml/minute for 30 minutes. Dual wavelength detection at 254 nm and 210 nm are used during the procedure at 0.05 AUFS. In order for the sample to pass lab evaluation, there must be no peaks greater than 0.0005 AU at 254 nm and 0.001 AU at 210 nm. (The 0.001 AU maximum peak at 210 nm is often limited by the acetonitrile solvent itself). Typical chromatograms will be shown throughout this bulletin.

Chromatographic Comparisons

Figure 1 shows a chromatographic comparison of NANOpure UV reverse phase gradients with bottled HPLC and conventional DI water. Note that both the NANOpure UV and EASYpure UV systems produce baselines that are free of ghost peaks that could potentially interfere with your chromatograms.

Sources of Contamination

Elimination of organic contamination begins with the choice of equipment manufacturers. The water system should be constructed of a natural




Figure 1

homopolymer (such as polypropylene) with no filters, plasticizers or mold release agents. Purification media and resins should be semiconductor grade quality. Properly designed adsorption and ultraviolet oxidation techniques should be incorporated into the design of the unit. In addition to these prerequisites, the following potential sources of contamination are presented for consideration.

Final Filter Design

One of our main concerns was the performance of the 0.2 micron absolute filter, used as the final purification process in all reagent grade water systems. Our challenge was to provide absolute filtration without contamination caused by filtration particles, wetting agents, and bubble point chemicals. The amount of water required to rinse a filter, both new and before each use, was evaluated and compared to other filter material available. Figure 2 and Figure 3 show the baselines from a NANOpure UV reagent grade water system utilizing both the Barnstead hollow fiber filter and a "comparative" filter used on a different reagent grade water system. Figure 2 shows a comparison of the first samples drawn without any appreciable rinse up. Notice the presence of interfering peaks on both filters. Figure 3 shows the baselines after an approximately 8 liter initial rinse. The hollow fiber filter nicely rinsed to a flat baseline, while the other filter still showed substantial peaks. It was repeated after a 16 liter rinse on the other filter material; the peaks were still present. The conclusion of these assays showed us that the hollow fiber filtration material utilized on Barnstead NANOpure and EASYpure water purification systems was superior in its ability to rinse out any interfering substances quickly. We attribute this to the naturally hydrophilic nature of the filtration material, as well as the material utilized for the filter housing. It is also evident that the competitor's filter material required extensive rinse-up to produce anything close to a flat baseline. Requiring such large quantities of water to rinse the 0.2 micron filter (to drain) wastes the capacity of the ion exchange cartridges unnecessarily. It may also take several runs or a column cleaning to remove filter contaminants. This can waste time and solvent.